

Superior Court of Washington, County of KING

In re:

Petitioner/s (*person/s who started this case*):

Veronika Goodnight

And Respondent/s (*other party/parties*):

Mathew Ralidak

No. **20-3-03830-3 SEA**

Motion for Order for:

Realigning Parties

(MT)

Motion for Order for: Realigning Parties

To both parties:

Deadline! Your papers must be filed and served by the deadline in your county's Local Court Rules, or by the State Court Rules if there is no local rule. Court Rules and forms are online at www.courts.wa.gov.

If you want the court to consider your side, you **must**:

- File your original documents with the Superior Court Clerk; AND
- Give the Judge/Commissioner a copy of your papers (if required by your county's Local Court Rules); AND
- Have a copy of your papers served on all other parties or their lawyers; AND
- Go to the hearing.

The court may not allow you to testify at the motion hearing. Read your county's Local Court Rules, if any.

Bring proposed orders to the hearing.

To the person filing this motion:

You must schedule a hearing on this motion. You may use the *Notice of Hearing* (form FL All Family 185) unless your county's Local Court Rules require a different form. Contact the court for scheduling information.

To the person receiving this motion:

If you do not agree with the requests in this motion, file a statement (using form FL All Family 135, *Declaration*) explaining why the court should not approve those requests. You may file other written proof supporting your side.

1. Relief Requested

My name is: Veronika Goodnight. I ask the court to approve the following orders (*specify*):

I ask the court to approve an order realigning the parties to reflect that I am the protected party in this family law matter, and that Mathew Ralidak has used a temporary protection order to obstruct and control family law proceedings. I request that this realignment reflect the true nature of the conflict, consistent with the court's prior findings and ongoing evidence of coercive control and litigation abuse.

2. Statement of Issues

I ask the court to decide the following issues (*specify*):

I ask the court to decide whether the parties in this family law case should be realigned to reflect that I am the protected party based on a pattern of coercive control, false accusations, litigation abuse and stalking where I am now fearful of my life, by the Respondent.

I also ask the court to consider whether the Respondent has used the protection order process to circumvent family law procedures and improperly interfere with the existing parenting plan, in violation of due process and without factual evidence.

3. Statement of Facts/Grounds

These facts support my request (*list supporting facts*):

I am the Petitioner in this family law case. Since our last parenting plan trial barely a year, Mathew Ralidak has repeatedly misused the legal system to discredit me and obstruct my relationship with our children. This includes filing a temporary protection order based on hearsay and therapy notes that were misrepresented, leading to an extended separation of over 150 days, despite prior court findings that the children should not be away from their mother for more than one week.

The current protection order, set to expire on September 2nd, 2025, has been used by Mathew to block my parenting rights and communication with the children without due process. He is now attempting to extend that protection order indefinitely through motions filed in this family law case, including requests for temporary orders and custody modifications based on false claims.

Additionally, the protection order explicitly permits me to have daily telephonic and video communication and refused to allow the children to call me directly from their own device, despite the fact that they are used to FaceTiming me daily. Instead, he insists that all call occur through the TalkingParents app, which is designed for parental communication—not as a medium for daily parent-child contact. Moreover, due to reception issues in our Monroe WA home, the TalkingParents app drops calls frequently on my end and I have no way of calling our children back. Mathew is aware of this, yet continues to block FaceTime contact, violating both the letter and spirit of the court order and our parenting plan. This is a continuation of a pattern of coercive control.

I am asking the court to recognize that the roles of the parties in this litigation have shifted, and that I, the original Petitioner and primary caregiver, am now the party who requires protection from continued litigation abuse, obstruction of parental rights, stalking, and procedural manipulation.

This motion is being filed in response to Mathew's misuse of the protection order process and his attempt to control the narrative and legal proceedings without factual or legal

justification. Realignment is necessary to restore clarity, protect my rights, and reflect the actual dynamics of this case.

4. Evidence Relied Upon

I ask the court to consider this evidence (*list all declarations and other documents that support this request*):

My Declaration filed concurrently with this motion, which outlines the history of coercive control, misuse of legal filings, and violations of parenting plan provisions, including blocked communication and unilateral medical decision-making by Mathew Ralidak.

The April 25th, 2025 Temporary Protection Order (King County Superior Court Case No. 25-2-11308-6 SEA), which includes language that clearly states no parenting plan decisions are to be made in that matter and directs parties to proceed under the family law case. This protection order also permits daily phone and video contact between the mother and children.

Screenshots and call logs from TalkingParents and iMessage, showing that Mathew Ralidak has denied or restricted phone or video contact in violation of the above protection order.

Email from the Principal of Carnation Elementary School, confirming that no report or complaint was made by the school against me, contrary to Mathew's court declarations.

Therapy note excerpts and CPS report closures, which were used out of context in Mathew's filings to justify the protection order but lack legal or factual support. These show closed CPS cases with no findings against me, and that Mathew's claims are rooted in misrepresentation of provider notes and coercive documentation.

Dr. Stacey Bowker's provider letter and Dr. Oreskovich's forensic psychiatric evaluation, both of which recommend that I, Veronika Goodnight, should have sole medical and educational decision-making for the children due to Mathew's ongoing interference.

Prior parenting plan language from the second trial of Judge Rampersad emphasized that the children should not be away from their mother for more than one week due to their emotional needs and established maternal bond.

Court records and filings submitted by Mathew Ralidak include his recent motions for Temporary Family Law Orders and a permanent restraining order in this family law case. These filings attempt to weaponize the legal system, contradict prior court findings, and further alienate me from our children without basis in evidence.

Kaeley Winstrom's Witness Declaration, which provides a direct account of the April 4th school incident where Mathew created an entire false account of the event. Her testimony directly contradicts Mathew's version of events.

5. Legal Authority

I have the right to ask for these orders according to the law (*describe the legal authority that supports your request*):

The court has the authority under Washington State family law to realign parties in a civil proceeding when the facts and procedural posture demonstrate that the original alignment no longer reflects the realities of the dispute or the conduct of the parties. The purpose of realigning parties is to reflect **who is actually seeking affirmative relief** and who is defending against it, particularly when misuse of the court system or a protective order is being weaponized to control or disadvantage the actual protected party.

In this matter, I, Veronika Goodnight, am the **Petitioner** in the family law case **20-3-03830-3 SEA**, and I am seeking relief from a sustained pattern of **litigation abuse, coercive control, and intentional alienation** of our children. Mathew Ralidak, though the **Respondent**, has continued to manipulate the family law and protection order system to make false claims, restrict access to the children, and deprive me of my rights under the parenting plan and protection order.

The **April 25, 2025 Temporary Protection Order** (Case No. 25-2-11308-6 SEA) explicitly states that no parenting plan decisions are to be made in that proceeding, and that those issues must be resolved in the family law case. Thus, this motion is properly brought under the family law case number. Further, the current misuse of the protection order to strip me of parenting access — without due process and based on unfounded allegations — necessitates the court's inherent authority to prevent injustice and restore equity in the legal process.

Under **RCW 26.09.260(1)**, a parenting plan may be modified or reconsidered when there is a substantial change in circumstances or when the current orders no longer serve the best interests of the child. Similarly, courts have discretion to protect litigants from ongoing harm through the realignment of parties where a protection order is being misused to shield the true aggressor and isolate the true victim.

Accordingly, I respectfully request the court to:

- **Realign the parties** to reflect that I am the **protected party**, not the restrained one;
- Recognize this motion as timely and necessary in light of the upcoming hearing where Mathew Ralidak is seeking a permanent restraining order under false pretenses;
- Allow this motion to be considered concurrently with the scheduled hearing on August 25, 2025, given its direct connection to the misuse of the protective order and distortion of the parties' roles in the family law matter.

6. A Proposed Order (*check one*): ☒ is ☐ is **not** attached to this *Motion*.

Person making this motion fills out below

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the facts I have provided on this form are true. ☒ I have attached (*number of*): 5 pages.

Signed at (*city and state*): Duvall WA Date: 8/17/25



Veronika Goodnight

Person making this motion signs here

Print name here

I agree to accept legal papers for this case at (check one):

☐ my lawyer's address, listed below.

☒ the following address (this does **not** have to be your home address):

10323 Friar Creek rd Monroe WA 98272
street address or PO box city state zip

(Optional) email: Kit@kitspins.com

(If this address changes before the case ends, you **must** notify all parties and the court clerk in writing. You may use the Notice of Address Change form (FL All Family 120). You must also update your Confidential Information form (FL All Family 001) if this case involves parentage or child support.)

Lawyer (if any) fills out below

Lawyer signs here _____ Print name and WSBA No. _____ Date _____

Lawyer's address _____ city _____ state _____ zip _____

Email (if applicable): _____

Warning! Documents filed with the court are available for anyone to see unless they are sealed. Financial, medical, and confidential reports, as described in General Rule 22, **must** be sealed so they can only be seen by the court, the other party, and the lawyers in your case. Seal those documents by filing them separately, using a Sealed cover sheet (form FL All Family 011, 012, or 013). You may ask for an order to seal other documents.

Court of Washington, County of <u>King</u>	
<u>Veronika Goodnight</u> Petitioner	No. <u>20-3-03830-3 SEA</u> Order Realigning Parties ORRAP
vs.	
<u>Mathew Balidak</u> Respondent	

Order Realigning Parties

Use this form when you are granting a new temporary order protecting the person who was originally restrained. To realign the parties without granting a new temporary order, use the Denial Order.

1. Findings

Based upon the petition, testimony, and the case record, the court finds that the designation of the parties should be realigned pursuant to RCW 7.105.210. The court finds that the original protected person is the abuser or harasser, and the original restrained person is the victim of ☐ domestic violence ☐ unlawful harassment.

2. Realignment

It is ordered that the designation of the parties in this case be realigned so that:

the protected person is (name) Veronika Goodnight
and

the restrained person is (name) Mathew Balidak

3. Temporary Protection Order

The Court is issuing a new temporary protection order separately with the parties realigned as described above.

4. Deadline for Filing New Petition

New protected person must file a petition for protection order by (date) _____
and contact the court clerk to arrange for service.

Date: _____

Judge/Court Commissioner

Print Judge/Court Commissioner Name

Superior Court of Washington, County of KING

In re:

Petitioner/s (*person/s who started this case*):

Veronika Goodnight

And Respondent/s (*other party/parties*):

Mathew Ralidak

No. 20-3-03830-3 SEA

Declaration of
(*name*): *Veronika Goodnight*
(DCLR)

Declaration of (*name*): Veronika Goodnight

1. I am (*age*): 43 years old and I am the (*check one*): ☒ [X] Petitioner ☐ [] Respondent

☐ [] Other (*relationship to the people in this case*): _____

2. I declare: I am the respondent in the current protection order matter brought by Mathew Ralidak. I respectfully request that the court **realign the parties under RCW 7.105.210**, recognizing me as the **protected party** and Mathew Ralidak as the **restrained party**, based on the evidence of his ongoing emotional abuse, manipulation, parental alienation, and misuse of the legal system to maintain coercive control over me and our children.

3. Background and Pattern of Abuse

Mathew has used the family court system to isolate me from my children for over 150 days with no contact, despite a protection order that explicitly allows for daily FaceTime communication. He has misrepresented therapy notes, involved third parties in sessions without my knowledge or consent, and filed multiple false CPS reports that were ultimately **unfounded and closed** without investigation. Please see closure letter.

Mathew has repeatedly interfered with my medical decision-making authority, canceling and rescheduling appointments behind my back, despite a parenting plan requiring joint coordination. He has manipulated healthcare providers by withholding court orders and giving misleading information. These acts are not only in violation of our parenting plan, but they demonstrate a pattern of control and obstruction that has deeply harmed our children.

4. No Findings Against Me / Misuse of the Protection Order

There have been **no findings of domestic violence or abuse against me**. The initial protection order was issued on a temporary basis based on Mathew's distorted interpretation of therapy notes and statements made out of context regarding April 4th, 2025. Please see physical witness declaration by Kaeley Wikstrom. It was not supported by investigation, evidence, or judicial findings of abuse. Despite this, I have been treated as a restrained party and denied access to my children, my role as a mother, and my community, all based on a **false narrative** created by Mathew.

5. Mathew's Misconduct Warrants Realignment

Mathew has engaged in behavior consistent with **unlawful harassment and coercive control**, including:

- Filing false CPS reports
- Manipulating therapy records
- Blocking phone and FaceTime communication in violation of court orders
- Preventing medical access and canceling my scheduled appointments
- Coaching the children to refer to his new wife as "Mom," this is contrary to their best interests and court direction as well as goes against our parenting plan in **Other: Monikers for Third Party Adults**
- Alienating me from the children, our community, and their schooling and healthcare
- Weaponizing temporary protection orders to prevent me from attending public events or safely engaging in co-parenting exchanges

6. Judicial Guidance and Request for Realignment

In the April 25, 2025 protection order ruling, the court **explicitly stated that it was making no findings of abuse** and that parenting issues must be addressed in family court. The restraining order remains a weapon Mathew continues to use against me, even without findings.

Under RCW 7.105.210, the court has the authority to **realign the parties** when the original protected person is the actual abuser or harasser. Based on the evidence and ongoing harm, I ask that this court issue a **new temporary protection order with me as the protected party**, and realign this case accordingly.

7. Conclusion

I respectfully request that this court:

- Grant my **Order Realigning the Parties** under RCW 7.105.210
- Recognize the **coercive control, emotional abuse, and procedural misuse** Mathew has employed to restrain me and separate me from my children
- Reassign **me as the protected person**, and restore my time with our children.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the facts I have provided on this form (and any attachments) are true. ☒ I have attached (number): 14 pages.

Signed at (city and state): Duvall, WA Date: 8/17/25

Sign here [Signature] Print name Veronica Goodnight

Warning! Documents filed with the court are available for anyone to see unless they are sealed. Financial, medical, and confidential reports, as described in General Rule 22, **must** be sealed so they can only be seen by the court, the other party, and the lawyers in your case. Seal those documents by filing them separately, using a Sealed cover sheet (form FL All Family 011, 012, or 013). You may ask for an order to seal other documents.



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES

KING EAST

805 156th AVE NE
BELLEVUE WA 98007

Veronika Goodnight

Laura an

CASE ID: (2682764)

Dear Veronika,

Thank you for working with the Department of Children, Youth, And Families (DCYF) during your Family Assessment Response (FAR). I hope it was helpful to you and your family. Your case with DCYF is being closed.

As you know, the health, safety and well-being of your family are very important. Joining with your community to find resources, support, and connections is one way to improve your children's safety and well-being. We are providing you with some community resources and programs that may be helpful to you in the future:

Family Voluntary Services at 1-866-363-4276

The Family Help Line is a toll-free number from anywhere in Washington State, available to parents, caregivers, anybody who has an interest in or questions about a child in their community. They can help individuals find resources, parenting classes (YES, INCLUDING Parenting Classes that would meet specific court ordered content), and other community events. They are also a phone call away just to talk and problem solve with callers. You can speak with somebody, or just leave a message for one of their Family Help Line Parenting Coaches to give the caller a call back. In most cases, they can have a live translator on the line in minutes if that is needed.

The Family Help Line recognizes that Washington's families come in all shapes and sizes – and one size doesn't fit all! They have created a statewide network of support, education and leadership programs for Washington's families' unique needs. Call them for help finding local support groups, parent groups, and programs for children and teens tailored to the individuals location within Washington State.

1-800-932-4673 or email at: familyhelpline@parenttrust.org

Family Reconciliation Services at 1-866-363-4276

Family Reconciliation services supporting adolescent children between the ages of 12-17 years. This unit in DCYF helps supports adolescent children struggling with difficult behaviors such as substance use, truancy or running away. Once you call the number and report the concern, a social worker will reach out to you and talk to you to address concerns related to your adolescent child and offer services that will support the adolescent child. The service is completely free of cost for families.

If you have any questions, concerns or comments I can be reached at:

425 515 5925 or at gracey.pearson@dcyf.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

Gracey Pearson, MSW (She/Her)

Child Protective Services

King East Office

Department of Children, Youth and Families

805 156TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE WA 98007

Superior Court of Washington, County of King

In re:

Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case):

Veronika Goodnight

And Respondent/s (other party/parties):

Mathew Palidak

No. 20-3-03830-3 SEA

Declaration of
(name):

(DCLR)

Kaeley Wikstrom

Declaration of (name): Kaeley Wikstrom

1. I am (age): _____ years old and I am the (check one): ☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent

☒ Other (relationship to the people in this case): Friend of Veronika Goodnight

2. I declare: My name is Kaeley Wikstrom. I met Veronika Goodnight through a Yoga class

that she offered to our community. We later found out that our children went to

school together, and had been going to school together since preschool (they are

both now in first grade). Veronika has met my son several times through all the

volunteer work that she does at the children's school, Carnation Elementary. I was

present on the day in question, attending a ceremony where my kids, as well as

Veronika's, were receiving awards. I saw Veronika at the assembly, along with her

fiance, Colin, who I have seen for Chiropractic work before. Both of them were being

supportive of the children and cheering them on. I witnessed first hand that Colin

had no weapons on him, nor were him and Veronika violent or aggressive in any way

while present at the children's school. They showed nothing but respect, and pride

for the children. In the short time that I have known Veronika, she has shown what an incredible mother she is, as well as what an incredible member of our small community she is. My son has known her since preschool and has said that he has enjoyed his time spent with Veronika in the classroom when she volunteers. Veronika and Colin both are kind, respectful, outstanding members of the community and have nothing but the best interest of Veronika's children in mind. I can vouch that neither of them are violent, aggressive, or were carrying any weapons when at the assembly at Carnation Elementary.

(Number any pages you attach to this Declaration. Page limits may apply.)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the facts I have provided on this form (and any attachments) are true. ☒ I have attached (number): 2 pages.

Signed at (city and state): Carnation, Wa Date: April 17, 2025


Sign here

Kaeley Wikstrom
Print name

Warning! Documents filed with the court are available for anyone to see unless they are sealed. Financial, medical, and confidential reports, as described in General Rule 22, **must** be sealed so they can only be seen by the court, the other party, and the lawyers in your case. Seal those documents by filing them separately, using a Sealed cover sheet (form FL All Family 011, 012, or 013). You may ask for an order to seal other documents

Illness of Child. In the event of serious illness of the child, which affects the residential time of the child with a parent, the parent who misses residential time as a result of the illness shall be granted additional time to compensate for any time lost. Make up time shall be taken within 14 days or deemed waived.

First Right of Refusal. Any absence that results in a parent not being able to personally supervise the children for a period of longer than 72 hours shall result in the other parent receiving the first right of refusal for childcare.

Passports. The parent travelling last shall retain possession of the passports. International travel is strictly prohibited absent written agreement of the parties which shall not be unreasonably withheld. If passports are sought, the other parent must complete all necessary paperwork and send the paperwork to the parent applying for the children's passports via certified mail within 14 days of notice via the parenting app.

★ Monikers for Third Party Adults. The parties are prohibited from encouraging or supporting the use of the terms "father", "daddy", "mother", "mommy" or any other derivative denoting parenthood to any other person besides Veronika and Mathew.

15. Proposal

Does not apply. This is a Court order if signed by a judge or commissioner below.

16. Court Order

This is a final Court order and replaces all other orders (temporary or final) previously entered in this case.

Order of the Court: The Parties are ORDERED to follow the provisions outlined above.

Other: The Court incorporates all findings of fact and conclusions of law as stated orally at the close of the trial, and as written in the "Order of the Court: Supplemental Findings and Conclusions" entered separately today.





ESIGNED - SUPPLEMENTAL FIN... ▼ Done

Communication with Parents:

- Parents must make their child reasonably available to the other parent for texts, phone, and video calls.
- Reasonably available means, at a minimum, the following:
 - o The children must be available for a brief (5 minute) video call before any important event (such as testing, sporting events, performances ... etc.) and before bedtime

ORDER OF THE COURT:
SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Page 6 of 10

each night. This is particularly important for the youngest child, but is a requirement for all three children.

- o The children must each be available for a 20-minute video call (separately or together, at the residential parents' preference) at least twice per week with the non-residential parent. Once call must occur prior to the scheduled date of the mid-week visit, and one must occur after (regardless of whether the mid-week visit is cancelled).
- o All communications must be unmonitored, meaning the residential parent may not listen in, and must leave the room, or if outside must walk out of hearing distance from the phone, for the duration of the call.
- o However, there is an exception for if the children are traveling and do not have access to communications. In such case, the residential parent must make an effort to make the children available for a 20-minute call or communication at least once per week. If that will not be possible (due to camping or in an area that is not accessible to internet), the parent is required to notify the other parent at least one week in advance. Being busy or merely traveling is not sufficient to cancel the required calls; there must be a genuine lack of access to communications.
- o The children must be available to receive text messages from the non-residential parent at all times, and must be permitted to initiate texts, phone calls, or video calls with the other parent at any time, except that a parent may reasonably restrict the child's access to a communication device when the child is engaged in meals, bedtime, school, scheduled extracurricular activities, or other organized activity (i.e. religious functions, organized family functions ... etc.).

Communication between Parents:

- Parents must use TalkingParents or another mutually agreed upon parenting app for all communications regarding the children (medical, school related, scheduling ... etc.), unless truly urgent.
 - o If a parent has a question for another parent, they must first read all pending messages in the app before sending an urgent query via text message.
 - o Parents must refrain from involving the other parents' partner in any communications, absent an emergency situation (such as potential imminent hospitalization of the child).
- Both parents are responsible for checking the communication app at least once every 48 hours, and for remaining current on all communications.
- Parents must abide by the following protocol with respect to any decision that is joint decision-making:
 - o A parent who proposes a course of action must communicate the proposed decision via the App.
 - o A parent has 48 hours to agree, object, or request additional information.

ORDER OF THE COURT:
SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Page 7 of 10



ESIGNED - SUPPLEMENTAL FIN...



Done

Balance of Harms and Advantages

The Court is required, under RCW 26.09.260(2), to balance the detriments and advantages of changes to the residential schedule.

So far, this Court has focused on discussing Ms. Goodnight's shortcomings and Mr. Ralidak's strengths. However, Ms. Goodnight has many strengths as a parent and Mr. Ralidak has shortcomings. Ms. Goodnight is highly sensitive to the children's emotional needs. Mr. Ralidak is not. When the Court inquired with the parties regarding the emotional needs of the children, Ms. Goodnight discussed how the youngest is prevented by Mr. Ralidak from calling her mother to say goodnight. Mr. Ralidak allows this 6-year-old child to cry herself to sleep, calling out for her mother, because he believes imposing a regimented bedtime routine is paramount. This shows a callous lack of understanding of the children's emotional needs, particularly when applied to a child so young who is missing her primary caregiver.

When the Court inquired about the emotional needs of the children, Mr. Ralidak tellingly did not respond with a discussion of the children's emotional needs, to be heard, to be understood, to be loved. Rather, he discussed routines, stability, responsibility, and other admirable traits and structures that are important for children ... but that have nothing whatsoever to do with their emotional needs. It is clear to the Court that the change in the residential schedule should not result in the children being away from their mother for more than a week; in fact a weeks' time, on a regular basis, may be too long, particularly considering the emotional needs of the youngest child.

In order to accommodate the children's emotional needs, particularly that of the youngest child, not to be away from either parent for a full week; to allow Ms. Goodnight to remind the children to utilize the emotional regulation techniques she is working with them on; and to enable Mr. Ralidak to monitor the children's homework and healthcare more closely, the Court finds it necessary to allow a mid-week visit for each parent.

The Court will also modify various miscellaneous provisions of the parenting plan in an effort to resolve ongoing disputes (and avoid additional court hearings) and more clearly define how the parents must make decisions going forward.

ORDER OF THE COURT:

SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Page 5 of 10

Other

2. PROTECTED PARTY MUST FILE A MODIFICATION ORDER FOR THE FAMILY LAW COURT TO CONSIDER. THIS COURT IS MAKING NO FINDINGS RE: PARENTING OF THE PARTIES. HOWEVER, THE ALLEGATIONS & STATEMENTS IN THE THERAPY NOTES ARE EXTREMELY CONCERNING. THE FAMILY LAW

Other Orders (Check all that apply): COURT USE THE BEST PLACE TO DETERMINE FINAL WITNESS

9. ☐ Law enforcement must help the protected person with (RCW 7.105.320(1)):

☐ Possession of the protected person's residence.

☐ Possession of the vehicle listed in section L above.

☐ Possession of the protected person's essential personal belongings located at:

☐ the shared residence

☐ the restrained person's residence

☐ other location: _____

☐ Custody of ☐ the minors named in section 3 above

☐ these minors only: _____

☐ Other: _____

☐ Law enforcement must be present while the restrained person collects personal

Elora
Switch ▾**Well Child Assessment:**

History was provided by the father. Elora lives with her mother, father and stepparent (50/50 Custody). Interval problems include chronic stress at home.

Nutrition

Types of intake include cereals, cow's milk, eggs, fish, fruits, juices, junk food, meats and vegetables. Junk food includes candy, chips, desserts, fast food, soda and sugary drinks.

Dental

The patient has a dental home. The patient brushes teeth regularly. The patient does not floss regularly. Last dental exam was 6-12 months ago.

Elimination

There is no bed wetting.

Behavioral

Behavioral issues include lying frequently and performing poorly at school. Disciplinary methods include ignoring tantrums, praising good behavior, scolding, spanking, taking away privileges and time outs.

Sleep

Average sleep duration is 10 hours. The patient does not snore. There are no sleep problems.

Safety

There is no smoking in the home. Home has working smoke alarms? yes. Home has working carbon monoxide alarms? yes. There is a gun in home (Locked in safe).

School

Current grade level is 4th. Current school district is Riverview. There are signs of learning disabilities. Child is performing acceptably in school.

Screening

Immunizations are not up-to-date. There are no

**Well Child Assessment:**

History was provided by the father. Aidan lives with his mother, father and stepparent (50/50 custody).

Interval problems include chronic stress at home.

Nutrition

Types of intake include cereals, cow's milk, eggs, fish, fruits, juices, junk food, meats and vegetables. Junk food includes candy, chips, desserts, fast food, soda and sugary drinks.

Dental

The patient has a dental home. The patient brushes teeth regularly. The patient does not floss regularly. Last dental exam was 6-12 months ago.

Elimination

Toilet training is complete. There is no bed wetting.

Behavioral

Behavioral issues include misbehaving with peers. Disciplinary methods include ignoring tantrums, praising good behavior, scolding, spanking, taking away privileges and time outs.

Sleep

Average sleep duration is 10 hours. The patient does not snore. There are sleep problems (Sleep walking).

Safety

There is no smoking in the home. Home has working smoke alarms? yes. Home has working carbon monoxide alarms? yes. There is a gun in home (Locked in safe).

School

Current grade level is 3rd. Current school district is Riverview. There are signs of learning disabilities. Child is performing acceptably in school.

Screening

Immunizations are not up-to-date. There are no risk factors for hearing loss. There are no risk

**Well Child Assessment:**

History was provided by the father. Raina lives with her mother, father and stepparent (50/50 custody). Interval problems include chronic stress at home.

Nutrition

Types of intake include cereals, cow's milk, eggs, fish, fruits, juices, meats, vegetables and junk food. Junk food includes candy, chips, desserts, fast food, soda and sugary drinks.

Dental

The patient has a dental home. The patient brushes teeth regularly. The patient does not floss regularly. Last dental exam was 6-12 months ago.

Elimination

Toilet training is complete. There is no bed wetting.

Behavioral

Behavioral issues include lying frequently and performing poorly at school. Disciplinary methods include time outs, praising good behavior, ignoring tantrums, taking away privileges, spanking and scolding.

Sleep

Average sleep duration is 10 (Waking up about 2-3 times a night) hours. The patient does not snore. There are no sleep problems.

Safety

There is no smoking in the home. Home has working smoke alarms? yes. Home has working carbon monoxide alarms? yes. There is a gun in home (locked in safe).

School

Current grade level is 1st. Current school district is Riverview. There are signs of learning disabilities. Child is performing acceptably in school.

Screening

Have Mamma

Day You
Are the
Best Mom

I Wish
that I
can See
you

♥ Rain

From: Michelle Frank frankm@rsd407.org
Subject: Re: Request for Immediate Clarification Regarding False Statements
and Impact on Custody
Date: Apr 28, 2025 at 10:39:33 AM
To: KiT Spins kit@kitspins.com
Cc: Adam Del Rosso delrossoa@rsd407.org, Cathy McAlpine
mcalpinec@rsd407.org, Dani Geitzke geitzked@rsd407.org, Mary Beth
Babcock babcockm@rsd407.org

Dear Veronika,

Thank you for reaching out. I am very sorry to hear about the stressful events you have experienced related to the court proceedings. I have not made any formal statements or references regarding you as a parent.

When I received a request to provide information, I clearly communicated that, as a school employee, I must remain neutral and not offer any personal opinions or judgments. I have not submitted any documentation or made any statements attributing any behavior to you that would suggest disruptions to the learning environment.

I am happy to meet with you to discuss this matter further and ensure we maintain open and clear communication moving forward.

Please let me know if you would like to schedule a time to meet.

Sincerely,



Michelle Frank (she/her)

Principal
Carnation Elementary School

4549 Tolt Avenue
Carnation, WA 98014
Office Phone: 425-844-4553
frankm@rsd407.org

 Book time to meet with me

From: KiT Spins <kit@kitspins.com>
Sent: Friday, April 25, 2025 2:33 PM
To: Michelle Frank <frankm@rsd407.org>
Cc: Adam Del Rosso <delrossoa@rsd407.org>; Cathy McAlpine <mcalpinec@rsd407.org>; Dani

Geitzke <geitzked@rsd407.org>; Mary Beth Babcock <babcockm@rsd407.org>

Subject: Request for Immediate Clarification Regarding False Statements and Impact on Custody

Dear Principal Frank,

I am writing to request immediate clarification regarding a statement attributed to you and referenced in a recent court hearing. Specifically, it was stated that “this is not the first time that Goodnight has caused a commotion or interrupted the children’s learning process.”

This statement has directly contributed to the devastating outcome of my children being temporarily removed from my care, despite my role as their consistent, supportive, and actively involved parent.

To be clear:

- At no time prior to this court hearing have any concerns about my behavior, parenting, or school involvement ever been formally communicated to me by you or any member of your staff.
- I have volunteered consistently at the school and have maintained a positive rapport with teachers, staff, and administrators.
- Any reports made regarding my children were based on mandated reporting protocols following therapy sessions — and to date, all CPS/ DCYF reports have been closed without investigation or findings of abuse or neglect.
- The only disciplinary incident ever disclosed involved a single instance of spanking, which I proactively communicated to my child’s therapist. Therapy notes themselves also acknowledge a history of dishonesty from my child, which further complicates the reliability of statements made in therapy.

Given the serious harm that has resulted from your statement — and the lack of any documented prior concerns — I respectfully and urgently request:

1. A detailed explanation of the basis for your claim that I have previously “caused commotions” or “interrupted the learning process,” including any documentation or incident reports if they exist.
2. An explanation for why I was never notified of any alleged concerns prior to this court proceeding, despite my active presence at the school.
3. A formal acknowledgment, if no such documentation exists, that no prior disciplinary or behavioral issues regarding me were on record.

This situation is not only deeply damaging to me as a parent, but it also undermines trust in the school’s duty to act with fairness, integrity, and professionalism. I expect a written response within ten (10) business days.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Veronika Goodnight